

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9444-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.02.2021

Jaspal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Mandeep K. Sajjan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sudhir Nar, Senior Panel,
Counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 6.

Mr. Aman Pal, Addl. Standing Counsel for U.T. with
Mr. Rohit Kaushik, Standing Counsel for U.T.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed this writ petition as a resident of Chandigarh. He claims that respondent No.8 to 11 are not entitled to hold the post in the Department of Legal Metrology.

Learned counsel for the petitioner was requested to explain as to how in a service matter, a Public Interest Litigation is maintainable. He failed to give any plausible answer. He submitted that a previous writ petition filed by the petitioner i.e. CWP-12018-2018 was disposed of on 04.07.2019 directing the Deputy Commissioner-cum-Director, Food and Supply Department, Chandigarh Administration, to consider and decide the representation. He submits that in view of the aforesaid order, the petitioner

has locus standi to file the present writ petition.

This Court has considered the submissions. However, finds no merit therein. It is apparent from the order passed on 04.07.2019 that the Court while disposing of the writ petition had specifically noted that it has not adverted to the merits of the case.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioner has failed to make out a case for issuance of writ as prayed for.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are disposed of, in view of the above-stated order.

08.02.2021

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No