

102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.15049 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.04.2021

Ramnik Basandrai @ Ramnik Khatri

....Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Madhur Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.60 dated 02.06.2020 registered under Sections 307, 34 IPC and 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act at Police Station North, Chandigarh.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that as per the allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of one Akbar Khan, who is working as a Salesman at SCF No.18 Wine Shop Sector 9, Chandigarh and at about 07:25 PM, he was standing inside the shop at 15 feet away from the counter and Pawan and Madan were standing at the counter and they were giving articles to the customers and suddenly, they heard a noise of bullet shot and holes appeared in the glass pane installed near the counter and they ran towards the counter and saw that Rajesh son of Subhash right arm was bleeding, who was shot with bullet. The other staff and owner Ram Avtar Batra son of Lt. Sh. K.C. Batra, Ankur Narang, Madan, Pawan, Anil, Avdash Mangla Anand were inside the wine shop and Pawan Kumar and Ankur Narang were hurt by

the piece of glass which was broken due to bullet shot. The details of the injuries sustained by the injured is also given in the FIR.

It was noticed that outside the parking 02 young boys were standing, one was wearing blue and other was wearing a red colour t-shirt, who were holding the guns in their hands and were seen running towards the main road and thereafter, ran away from the spot after sitting inside the Honda Amaze Car, which was parked on the main road. The complainant further stated that he can identify the said persons, if they are brought before him.

Counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and he has been later on, involved in the case.

Notice of motion.

Mr. A.M. Punchhi, P.P. U.T., Chandigarh and Mr. Anupam Bansal, APP, U.T., Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State and opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that as per the investigation, the petitioner was found to be a person sitting in the car, when the firing incident has taken place, causing injuries to the complainant side.

Counsel for the U.T., Chandigarh has also submitted that as per the disclosure statement of the co-accused Amarjit Singh and Devender Chawla, the petitioner was found to be present in the car and has actively participated in the incident. Lastly, it is argued that from a long time, the petitioner is evading his arrest and did not allow the police to complete the investigation.

After hearing the counsel for the parties and considering

the serious allegations against the petitioner, I find no ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

06.04.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No