

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10678-2020  
Date of decision-28.10.2021

DEEPAK

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Gourav Verma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (ORAL)**

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0032 dated 16.02.2020, under Sections 25 & 54 Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Uklana, District Hissar. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 13.03.2020, whereby, while issuing notice of motion to respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

*“Learned counsel contends that the alleged recovery of weapon was effected from accused Jai Bhagwan, on whose disclosure statement, the petitioner was indicted as an accused. According to him, in the given facts, the custodial interrogation of*

*the petitioner may not be necessary.*

*Notice of motion for 21.07.2020.*

*Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel, who is assisted by ASI Devender does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 13.03.2020 is made absolute.

**(MANOJ BAJAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

**28.10.2021**

*Vipin kumar*

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |