

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

CRWP-3124-2021

Date of decision: 31.03.2021

Lovedeep Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. K.L. Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

The petitioners, aged about 24 and 23 years respectively, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners, being major, performed marriage on 25.03.2021 at Maa Bhadarkali Jyotish Kendra, Mata Mansa Devi Market, Sector 4, Panchkula, as per Hindu rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that inadvertently wrong marriage certificate of Rajender Singh and Alka Rani issued by Maa Bhadarkali Jyotish Kendra, Mata Mansa Devi Market, Sector 4, Panchkula was attached with the petition instead of the marriage certificate of the present petitioners issued by the above said Kendra and the same may be allowed to be placed on record.

Oral prayer made is allowed and marriage certificate of the petitioners issued by Maa Bhadarkali Jyotish Kendra, Mata Mansa Devi Market, Sector 4, Panchkula is taken on record.

In support of the averments made in the petition, learned

Counsel for the petitioners has also filed photographs of the petitioners taken at the time of their marriage in the Court today which are also taken on record.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 25.03.2021 (Annexure P-5) was made to the Superintendent of Police, Ambala seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter and prays for issuance of direction in this regard.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. A.G., Haryana has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned State Counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Ambala to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against petitioner No.1, then nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking of appropriate action by the police authorities against him in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Ambala for requisite compliance.

31.03.2021

Vinay

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No