

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(224)

CRWP-3138-2021.
Date of Decision:-27.10.2021.

Karamjeet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Amandeep Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. N.K. Banka, DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a criminal writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has given a representation dated 19.03.2021 (Annexure P-2) to respondent No.2 –Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga, District Moga in which it has been stated that the petitioner is married to respondent No.4, but the petitioner, on account of the harassment faced by her after marriage, does not want to live with respondent No.4 and due to the said fact, private respondent Nos.4 to 13 are threatening her which has caused reasonable apprehension with respect to her life and liberty. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he would be satisfied in case respondent No.2

CRWP-3138-2021

is directed to look into the representation (Annexure P-2) on the limited aspect of protection of life and liberty of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel has submitted that State has no objection in case respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation (Annexure P-2) on the limited aforesaid aspect.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga, District Moga, to look into the representation dated 19.03.2021 (Annexure P-1) and after seeing threat perception, take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioner and it would be open to respondent No.2 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to the representation (Annexure P-2) moved by the petitioner with the limited aforesaid aspect.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioner, if involved in any other case.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

October 27, 2021.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No