

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-25532-2021 in/and
CRM-M-20134-2018
Date of Decision: 21.09.2021**

Anil Jain

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. G.S. Madaan, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Senior DAG, Punjab.

None for respondents No.2 to 4.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

CRM-25532-2021:

Prayer in this application is for preponement of the date of hearing
in the main case.

2. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the matter is taken
up today itself, for consideration.

3. Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M-20134-2018:

In this petition, the petitioner in case F.I.R No.5 dated 13.04.2016,
under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police
Station NRI, District Ludhiana Rural, Punjab (Annexure P-1), has prayed for
quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of

compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused, to which effect the statements of the parties had already been recorded before the Ld. Trial Court in the connected CRM-M-49896-2019, which fact has been mentioned in Para No.5 of the application (CRM-25532-2021). The said connected petition has already been allowed and its FIR, along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, has been quashed on the basis of compromise.

[3]. There is no representation on behalf of respondents No.2 to 4 through Video Conferencing, which shows that they do not dispute with the factum of compromise.

[4]. In view of the report received in the connected CRM-M-49896-2019 qua the compromise arrived at between the parties and keeping in view the fact that the present petition as well as CRM-M-49896-2019 are arising out of the same F.I.R No.5 dated 13.04.2016, as also the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since respondent No.2/complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.5 dated 13.04.2016, under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station NRI, District Ludhiana Rural, Punjab (Annexure P-

**CRM-25532-2021 in/and
CRM-M-20134-2018**

-3-

1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed
qua the present petitioners.

21.09.2021

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No