

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

249

**CRM-M-14449-2021
Decided on : 18.11.2021**

Sunny @ Sunny Yadav

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. Punit Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate
for respondent No.2 - complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 54, dated 07.03.2017, under Sections 323, 377, 406, 498-A, 506, 34 of IPC, registered at Women Police Station, Gurugram (annexed as Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of joint statement of the parties dated 10.09.2020 (annexed as Annexure P-2) in first motion under Section 13-B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

Vide order dated 20th September, 2021, of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 25th October, 2021, to get their statements recorded, regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from the learned JMJC, Gurugram, in pursuance to the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected

between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed. The trial Court has annexed the copies of the statements of the parties in original along with its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there is no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned JMIC, Gurugram, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

November 18, 2021

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*