

206

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 14328 of 2021
Date of Decision:01.07.2021

DAYA RAM

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.34 dated 03.02.2021, registered under Sections 148/ 149/ 341/ 395/ 397/ 506 and 120-B of IPC 1860, registered at PS IMT, Manesar, Gurugram, Haryana.

On 31.03.2021, following order was passed by this Court:-

“The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations are of picking of kitchen waste for feeding pigs. FIR

was registered in this background and the matter stands compromised on 22.03.2021. Co-accused namely Kala, Sanju and Ritesh have been granted regular bail by the Additional Sessions Judge, Gurugram on the basis of compromise.

Notice of motion for 01.07.2021.

Till the next date of hearing, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed.”

Today, both the parties are ad idem that quashing of FIR in question on the basis of compromise has already been filed in the High Court. Co- accused Kala Sanju and Ritesh have already been granted bail on the basis of compromise.

Looking to the aforesaid circumstances, I am of the view that the petitioner has made out a case for the grant of anticipatory bail. The petition is allowed. Petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 07.07.2021 at 11:00 AM and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on his furnishing adequate bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the Investigating Officer

as and when required;

- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country, without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender his passport, if any.

01.07.2021
Amandeep

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No