

210.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14136-2021

Date of Decision: 04.10.2021

SOHAN SINGH RAWAT @ SONU

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ravi Chadda, Advocate, for
Mr. Kuljit Singh Bal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.11, dated 27.01.2021, under Section 306 of IPC registered at Police Station Mohkampura, Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the said case. He is in custody since 27.01.2021. The trial in the case is likely to take some time to conclude as only 2 witnesses out of 17 witnesses have been examined so far.

Per contra, learned State counsel would oppose the grant of bail to the petitioner referring to seriousness of the allegations. However, she does not dispute the fact that only 2 witnesses have been examined so far which includes the father of the complainant.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 27.01.2021 and trial in the case will take some time to conclude as only 2 witnesses out of total 17 witnesses have been examined so far, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars any longer.

Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

04.10.2021

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No