

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3031-2021

Date of Decision:25.03.2021

REETU AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 8 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No. 4 to 8. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexure P-3 and Annexure P-4, respectively. Petitioner No.1 has submitted a representation dated 23.03.2021, Annexure P-5, (wrongly mentioned as Annexure P-4 in the petition) to the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar-respondent No. 2.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1to 3.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with directions to the Superintendent of Police, Yaumna Nagar -respondent No. 2 to decide the representation of petitioner No.1, dated 23.03.2021 (Annexure P-5) and grant protection to the petitioners, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

March 25, 2021

Jyoti-IT Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No Whether Reportable Yes/No