

**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-807-2021(O&M)

Date of decision:01.04.2021

Shri Dev and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Onkar and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

The petitioners-defendant no. 3 to 5 have filed this revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India challenging the order dated 21.01.2020 passed by the Civil Judge, (Junior Division), Mahendergarh, allowing defendant no. 1 to 5 to file their separate written statements.

It has been noticed that learned counsel representing the plaintiffs-respondents made an endorsement of no objection on the left margin of the said application and therefore, the application was allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that this order has been passed without hearing the petitioners, particularly, when defendant no.1 had already filed a joint written statement with the petitioners.

On perusal of the order dated 21.01.2020, it is apparent that the counsel representing defendant no. 2 to 5 in the trial court was

present. However, keeping in view the facts of the case, this revision petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioners to file an appropriate application before the trial court.

Disposed of.

01.04.2021		(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
rekha		JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes /No	
Whether Reportable	Yes / No	