

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2021/09/27 15:15
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-14023-2021

Date of decision : 23.9.2021

Davinder Singh

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Vishavjeet Singh Rishi, Advocate
for petitioner (s).

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

-.-

-.-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

This is petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 8 dated 26.1.2020 registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120 B IPC at Police Station Ladhuwal, District Ludhiana.

FIR was lodged on the complaint of one Varinder Pal Singh Sandhu who stated that he is a practising Advocate in New Delhi. His father Darshan Sandhu owns a house in West Delhi and 4 shops in village Nurpur Bet. The said shops have been inherited by his father from complainant's grandfather Lal Singh. When the complainant visited the village, he learnt that some persons were trying to sell his father's property on the basis of forged and fabricated power of attorney. On 18.1.2019 when he visited Nurpur Bet, then he came to know that the land in village which still stood in the name of his grandfather had been sold on the basis of forged power of attorney allegedly executed by his grandfather Lal Singh in 2015. The complainant stated that his grandfather had died in 1988. So there was no question of him having executed power of attorney in 2015. On 22.10.2019, he learnt that somebody has impersonated his father and got executed the sale deed of house standing in the name of his father. The said forged sale deed was got registered on 23.9.2019 on the basis of forged Aadhar card and other documents.

CRM-M-14023-2021

-2-

It is the case of prosecution that on receipt of complaint, the case was investigated. During investigation, it has come out that Davinder Singh (petitioner) had got prepared forged Aadhar card and other documents. He had executed the sale deed by impersonating him as Darshan Singh Sandhu (father of complainant). The sale deed had been executed in favour of one Amrit Kaur. The mobile number mentioned on the sale deed is also of petitioner.

Mr. Rana, learned DAG Punjab states that it has been verified that petitioner was present in the office of Tehsildar at the time of execution of sale deed and he has been photographed alongwith the Sub Registrar and the purchaser at that time.

In view thereof, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

23.9.2021
sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No