

**CRM-M-13157-2021
Date of Decision: 01.10.2021**

Sukhvinder Singh @ Vicky

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

215-A

CRM-M-15469-2021

Jagtar Singh @ Amrit Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Mukesh Singh Tomar, Advocate, for
Mr. Nitin Kant Setia, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-13157-2021).

None for the petitioner (in CRM-M-15469-2021).

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, A.A.G, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C., for grant of 'regular bail' to the petitioners in case FIR No. 93, dated 18.09.2017, registered at Police Station Badhni Kalan, District Moga, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 302/148/149 of the IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Though learned counsel for the petitioner (in CRM-M-13157-2021), submits that he has not received not a copy of the reply filed by

the respondent-State in response to CRM-M-13157-2021(dated 04.09.2021),
the said reply has been field and is ordered to be taken on record.

Learned State counsel submits that all prosecution witnesses
have been examined with the next date of hearing being 07.10.2021.

That being so, with the trial virtually having concluded, I would
see no reason to entertain these petitions, at this stage.

Consequently,without making any comment whatsoever on the
actual merits of the case, both these petitions stand dismissed.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the other
connected case.

October 01, 2021
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.