

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

**CRM-M-14524-2021
Date of decision: 19.07.2021**

Ajmer Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Atul Lakhanpal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G. Haryana
for the respondent-State.

None for the complainant.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

(The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.117 dated 10.05.2020 registered under Sections 147, 148, 188, 323, 302 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Agroha, District Hisar.

The above-said FIR was registered on statement of Ashok Kumar who alleged that on 09.05.2020 in the evening Ajay and his brother-in-law Pardeep came to him and he along with his cousin Amit, Ajay and Pardeep started drinking alcohol in the cricket ground. After sometime Amit went home and came back on his motorcycle. Ajay made a phone call to Vinod who also reached there. Vinod parked his motorcycle near cycle stand. He along with Amit, Ajay, Pardeep and

Vinod went to Jagat Palace Hotel in Verna car of Ajay. When they were sitting in the Jagat Palace Hotel, altercation took place between Amit, Ajay, Pardeep and Vinod and Ajay and Pardeep started abusing him, Amit and Vinod. Ajay gave 2/3 slaps to him (complainant-Ashok Kumar) in anger due to which the altercation increased. Ajay and Pardeep went in their Verna car after threatening them with dire consequences. He, Amit and Vinod stayed at the hotel. At about 3:30 a.m. in the morning, Ajay, Ajay's uncle Ajmer (the petitioner), Ajay's father Mahabir and two other persons came in the Swift Car of Ajmer (the petitioner). Ajmer asked Vinod and Amit to come on one side. He, Amit and Vinod went towards petrol pump on their asking. As soon as they reached at the petrol pump, Ajay, Ajmer, Pardeep and Mahabir and two other persons took out lathies and dandas from their swift car and started beating them and inflicted serious injuries to Ajay and Vinod who fell down. Thereafter the accused fled from the spot. He called ambulance and took them to MAMC Agroha. Amit was shifted to Churamani Hospital, Hisar where he was declared dead.

The petitioner, who is in custody since his arrest, has filed the present petition for grant of regular bail.

The petition has been opposed by the learned State Counsel in terms of reply filed by way of affidavit of Sh. Abhimanyu Lohan, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Detective, Hisar.

I have heard learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, and learned State Counsel and have gone through the relevant record.

Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner has submitted

that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The FIR was lodged after unexplained and unreasonable delay of 12 hours. There is no cogent evidence to connect the petitioner in the crime. As per the MLRs, deceased-Amit was having three injuries and injured-Vinod was having four injuries. As per the post mortem report there were six injuries on the person of Amit. The doctor who treated Amit at MAMC Agroha has opined that the injuries on the person of Amit were simple in nature. No specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner. In the CCTV footage of the occurrence, the petitioner is not seen causing any injury to deceased-Amit. The petitioner is not alleged to have caused any injury to injured-Vinod. In any case injuries caused to injured-Vinod were declared to be simple. The injuries caused to deceased-Amit which resulted in his death are attributed to co-accused Ajay and Dalbir. Similarly placed co-accused Pardeep Kumar has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 03.03.2021 passed in CRM-M-35736-2020. The trial is likely to take long time due to restrictions imposed to prevent the spread of infection of Covid-19 and no useful purpose will be served by further detention of the petitioner in custody. Therefore, the petitioner may be granted regular bail.

On the other hand, learned State Counsel has submitted that the petitioner was named in the FIR and he actively participated in the crime. In view of nature of accusation and gravity of offences, the petitioner does not deserve grant of regular bail. Therefore, the petition may be dismissed.

However, learned State Counsel has conceded that in the

CCTV footage of the alleged occurrence obtained by the police, the petitioner is not seen causing any injury to the deceased-Amit and injured-Vinod.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and evidence against the petitioner, role attributed to him, the fact that the petitioner is not specifically stated and seen in CCTV footage to have caused injuries to deceased-Amit and even injured-Vinod, parity with co-accused Pardeep Kumar who has been granted regular bail by this Court and also the fact that the trial is likely to take long time due to restrictions imposed to prevent the spread of infection of Covid-19, but without commenting on the merits of the case, I am inclined to extend the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on furnishing of personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

19.07.2021

kothiyal

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No