

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.13838 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:22.12.2021**

Mewa Singh and another

...Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and another

..Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Yashpal Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Arora, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

CRM No.42112 of 2021

Prayer in the application is for preponement of the hearing of the main case.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and hearing of the main case is preponed and is taken up for hearing today itself.

CRM-M No.13838 of 2021

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.63 dated 17.05.2014 under Sections 323, 324, 34 of the IPC registered at Police Station Sirhind, District Fatehgarh Sahib (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 12.03.2021 (Annexure P-5).

2. The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant on the allegations of physical assault and abuse at the hands of the accused-petitioners. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

4. Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise. Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

6. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

7. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.63 dated 17.05.2014 under Sections 323, 324, 34 of the IPC registered at Police Station Sirhind, District Fatehgarh Sahib (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

December 22, 2021
P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No