

CWP-6947-2021

Date of Decision: 26.03.2021

Bimla Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURIPresent: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Through Video Conferencing**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J.(ORAL)**

The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India in the nature of mandamus for issuance of an appropriate order or direction to the respondents to call the petitioner for interview under General Category in terms of the notice dated 10.03.2021 and 18.03.2021 (Annexures P-9 and P-10), respectively.

The primary grievance of the petitioner is that she was not called for the interview despite the fact that she has secured more than 50% marks (100 marks) under the General Category in pursuance of the advertisement and, therefore, the action of the respondents was not in accordance with the law.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Additional AG, Haryana, today has sent the result of the petitioner under sealed cover. On the opening of the sealed cover, it has been seen from the document sent by the respondents that the petitioner has secured only 94 marks which comes to 47% and, therefore, she was not qualified due to less marks. The aforesaid result sheet is directed to be placed on record and is marked as 'X'.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in view of the result of the petitioner, he may be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition, at this stage.

Permitted to do so.

Dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

March 26, 2021
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No