

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 206(2)

CRM-M No.9933 of 2020

Date of decision : 29.10.2021

Satish

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Ms. Vinay Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. M. K. Bhatnagar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.106 dated 22.10.2018 registered
under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Raja Sansi, district
Amritsar.

On 06.03.2020, this Court had passed the following order : -

*“ The petitioner has filed the present petition under
Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for
grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.106 dated
22.10.2018 registered under Sections 420 and 120-B of
the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Raja Sansi,
District Amritsar.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case
on the allegations of having cheated the complainant and*

his relatives. The petitioner is Pastor for Church of Episcopal Fellowship International Diocese at Jalandhar. The petitioner did not make any fraudulent representation and did not receive any amount. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to the learned State counsel, who seeks time to complete his instructions.

Adjourned to 27.05.2020.

Notice to respondents No.2 and 3 be issued for that date on filing of process fee and be also given dasti, if so desired.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail by the arresting officer/investigating officer on his furnishing of bail bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/investigating officer. The petitioner shall comply with the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. failing which he shall not be entitled to the benefit of protection of interim bail allowed to him.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; cooperated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

It is further submitted by learned counsel for the complainant that the petitioner and the complainant have compromised the matter.

After considering the above, the interim order of this Court

dated 06.03.2020, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

29.10.2021

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No