

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 3095 of 2021

DECIDED ON: 22nd APRIL, 2021

Nasreen and another

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Lokesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents arrayed in the petition.

As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents, hence, there is a threat perception.

On 31st March, 2021, the following order was passed:-

“ *Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.*

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG Haryana, appearing on advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to have instructions.

Put up on 22.4.2021.

In the meantime, the police official not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”

Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of an affidavit of Mr. Shamsheer Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Punhana, through electronic medium. Copy of the same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Baldev Singh submits that the statements of the petitioners have been recorded by Mr. Shamsheer Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Punhana. It is stated therein that there is no threat perception.

In view of above, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

22nd APRIL, 2021

reema

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>