

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Date of Decision :09.11.2021
CWP No.6810 of 2021 (O&M)**

KCC Buildcon Private Limited

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CWP No.6950 of 2021 (O&M)

Ghanaram Infra Engineers Pvt. Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present : Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Mukul Aggarwal and Mr. Shrevik Jain Advocates
for the petitioner in CWP No.6810 of 2021.
Mr. Atul Lakhanpal, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Ms. Srishti, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP-6950-2021.
Mr. B.R.Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana with
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Ashwani Chopra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Akshit Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent No.6.
(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court)

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral):

The matter was heard at some length on a few occasions in the past. However, today, at the outset, learned Advocate General, Haryana submits that on an analysis of the case set out by the parties in their respective pleadings and the arguments that have been advanced by their counsel, the State Government has decided to re-visit the matter in issue. He submits that the Additional Chief Secretary of the State shall re-consider/re-examine all

the questions that arise or have been raked up in both these petitions. And shall decide the matter afresh, after hearing all the stakeholders, within a period of four weeks. Further, until a decision is taken in this regard the impugned order shall not be enforced and in terms of the interim arrangement prevailing no work shall be executed at site till then.

Upon being pointedly asked, learned Senior counsel for the respective parties have expressed their willingness to follow and abide by the course suggested by the learned Advocate General. However, it is urged that to secure the rights/interest the party(s), who shall be aggrieved by the final outcome of the process of re-consideration, the orders passed in this regard be kept in abeyance at least for a period of one week to enable the aggrieved to avail further remedies.

In response, learned Advocate General, Haryana, express his agreement with the concerns raised by the learned counsel and submits that any order that shall be passed by the Additional Chief Secretary will not be enforced for a week from the date it is issued.

In the wake of the above, nothing substantive survives in these petitions, which are accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements and the consensus reached by the learned counsel for the parties.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

09.11.2021
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No