

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13589-2021

Date of Decision: 07.07.2021

Harnek Singh

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Bhriagu Dutt Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in a case FIR No.73 dated 07.11.2019 registered under Sections 302, 323 and 34 IPC, at Police Station Singh Bhagwantpura.

On 24.03.2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Case taken up through Video Conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner who is a 84 years old man has been falsely implicated in the case at the behest of the complainant as it is alleged that the grandson of the petitioner murdered the complainant's father; after investigation the petitioner was declared innocent; even if the case of the prosecution is taken as the gospel truth, though vehemently denied, only lalkara is attributed to the petitioner; since investigation in the matter is complete no useful purpose would be served at this stage to put the petitioner behind the bars; before the petitioner was declared innocent by the investigating agency he had been arrested and was sent in judicial custody and that the petitioner is still ready and willing to appear before the Trial Court on the date allocated by this Court.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Additional Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and prays for time to argue the matter.

Adjourned to 07.07.2021.

In case the petitioner surrenders before the Trial Court within one week from today he shall be admitted to ad interim bail to the Trial Court's satisfaction."

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance of above order, the petitioner submitted himself before the trial Court, who has been granted the concession of interim regular bail. In support of his contention, he has produced the copy of order dated 26.03.2021.

This fact is not disputed by learned State counsel, who is assisted by SI Subhash Chander.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim concession extended to the petitioner is made absolute and it is further ordered that he shall remain on the same bail bonds furnished by him before the trial Court on 26.03.2021.

07.07.2021
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes No
Yes No