

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

**RSA-852-2020(O&M)
Date of Order:09.09.2021**

SADHU SINGH

..Appellant

Versus

SATNAM SINGH AND ANR

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Anterpreet Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Defendant No.1(appellant) assails the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by the courts below while decreeing the suit for recovery of Rs.3,00,000/- as damages on account of grievous injuries inflicted by the appellant, herein, to the plaintiff (respondent) on 20.05.2011.

Defendant no.1 did not dispute the incident, however, gave different version of the incident and the manner in which the plaintiff suffered injuries.

Both the Courts, on appreciation of the evidence, have concurrently found that the case set up by the plaintiff is more plausible on preponderance of evidence. It is also not in dispute that defendant no.1 has been convicted in the criminal trial under Section 308 IPC for the same incident.

The learned counsel representing the appellant contends that the plaintiff failed to produce prescription slips for the medicines purchased through invoices Ex.P64 to Ex.P95.

This Bench has considered the submissions.

It is not in dispute that the aforesaid invoices are issued in favour of the plaintiff during the relevant time when he was undergoing treatment. Moreover, on reading of the judgments passed by the Courts below, it is apparent that the courts have found, as a matter of fact, that the plaintiff spent huge amount on his treatment for the grievous injuries caused by defendant no.1.

In view thereof, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 09, 2021

nt

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No