

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122/4

**CRM-M-13575-2021
Date of Decision: 05.04.2021**

Manpreet Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

**State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh
and others**

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. J.P.S.Sidhu, Advocate for petitioner.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

This petition under Sections 482/483 Cr.P.C. has been moved by petitioner for quashing of order dated 15.03.2021 (Annexure P/1) passed by respondent No.1-State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh, in Execution Application No.484 of 2018 in Consumer Complaint No.812 of 2017, in terms of which, non-bailable warrant was issued against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that though petitioner used to appear before State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh, on each and every date of hearing but on account of single default made at her instance on 15.03.2021 and that too due to illness, her presence is ordered to be secured through warrant of

arrest returnable for 06.04.2021. He further submits that petitioner is ready to appear before State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Since impugned order dated 15.03.2021 passed by State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh, has arisen out of Execution Application No.484 of 2018 in Consumer Complaint No.812 of 2017, I do not consider it appropriate to interfere in this petition in exercise of inherent powers as envisaged under Section 482 Cr.P.C. and hence, instant petition is dismissed.

However, petitioner, if so advised, may appear before the Executing Authority i.e. State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh, to avail appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

05.04.2021

Varinder Prashad

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No