

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

221

**CWP-6222-2020(O&M)
Date of Order: 16.09.2021**

MAHENDRI DEVI

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Walia, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

CM-4335-CWP-2020

Allowed as prayed for.

The amended memo of parties is taken on record.

MAIN

The petitioner claims that her land was acquired by the State Government by Notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, (hereinafter referred to as “the 1894 Act”), dated 25.01.2008. Subsequently, Award No.17 dated 26.09.2008 was announced assessing the market value of the land at Rs.60,00,000/- (Rupees Sixty Lakhs only) per acre. The petitioner did not apply for reference to the Court under Section 18 of the 1894 Act, whereas, on the applications of various other co-owners, the Reference Court enhanced the market value to Rs.1,51,71,800/- per acre vide judgment dated 08.05.2013. The petitioner is stated to have filed an application under Section 28-A of the 1894 Act for redetermination of the compensation.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner prays for issuance of a writ in the nature of the mandamus directing respondent No.2 to decide the application filed under Section 28-A of the 1894 Act.

Mr. Tarun Walia, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, submits that the application under Section 28-A of the 1894 Act shall be decided by the Land Acquisition Collector within a period of one month.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the writ petition is disposed of.

The respondent shall remain bound by the statement.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 16, 2021

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No