

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2898-2021

Date of Decision :- 24.3.2021

Teja Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr.Hitesh Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S.MADAAN, J. (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and going through the record, I do not find any reason to invoke writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

The petitioner may take recourse to legal remedy available under law with regard to his prayer for taking criminal action against private respondents No.4 and 5 in the form of filing complaint under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. in the Court of competent jurisdiction, if so advised.

As regards the averments about threat to life and liberty of the petitioner, he can approach respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, District Barnala in that regard, who may look

into the matter and then take appropriate action, if so warranted by facts and circumstances of the case, in accordance with law.

With these observations, the petition stands disposed of accordingly.

24.3.2021

Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking :

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No

(H.S. MADAAN)

JUDGE