

125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.3029 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26.03.2021

Bimla and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and their family members at the hands of the official respondent and to decide the representation dated 28.02.2021 filed by the petitioners.

Counsel for the petitioners has argued that petitioner No.1 is the President of her locality. It is also stated that the son of petitioner No.1 namely Lucky is residing with one Kamlesh and he was taken away by the police officials and thereafter, the petitioner No.1 visited the Police Station and got her son Lucky released from the custody of the police and on that pretext, the police is trying to settle the personal dispute with the petitioners.

Counsel for the State has, however, submitted that the entire story made up in the petitioner is an after-thought as petitioner No.2 – Ajay Kumar and petitioner No.3 – Lakhan, who are sons of petitioner No.1 are, in fact, accused in FIR No.94 dated 16.04.2020

registered under Sections 336, 148, 149 IPC and 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act and they are wanted in a police case and therefore, to avoid the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C., the present petition has been filed.

After hearing the counsel for the parties, I find no ground to issue any such direction as petitioners No.2 and 3, have an efficacious remedy of approaching the Court by way of filing an application for anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

26.03.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No