

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
(Heard through VC)**

**CRM-M- 13585 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.3.2021**

Rampati and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Manoj Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shaukeen Singh Verma, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No. 280 dated 22.8.2020 registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 379, 452, 506 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Siswani, District Bhiwani.

It is submitted that the petitioners, who are in the age group of 52 to 57, have falsely been implicated in the instant FIR. It is submitted that only three simple injuries were found on the person of the complainant and no specific role has been attributed to the petitioners and therefore the petitioners deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the respondent—State as well as the complainant vehemently oppose the grant of anticipatory bail, by

contending that the petitioners herein entered the house of the complainant armed with *dandas* and *sharp edged weapons* and caused multiple injuries to the complainant and this fact can be ascertained from the CCTV footage mounted in the area. Learned counsel for the respondent—State, on instructions from SI Rohtas, submits that the complainant is in possession of the house and there is a civil court decree in his favour. However, learned counsel for the petitioners denied the factum of any decree having been passed in favour of the complainant.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and find no ground to allow anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Keeping in view the nature of injuries suffered by the complainant and the fact that weapons of offence are yet to be recovered, this petition is dismissed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for the matter to be referred for mediation as it is a dispute amongst the brothers, which offer has been declined by the learned counsel for the complainant

24.3.2021*prem***(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No