

113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14988-2021
Decided on : 06.04.2021

Jaswinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. P.P.S.Duggall, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.(Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition is for issuance of appropriate direction to official respondents for registering the FIR under Sections 406, 498-A, 494 and 34 IPC as law laid down by the Apex Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors., 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.***

Learned counsel contends that despite respondents No.2 and 3 having been apprised about the commission of cognizable offence by the private respondents, the official respondents have failed to proceed against them under the provisions of law. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on the representation dated 17.02.2021(Annexure P-2) made by the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. H.S.Sullar, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the respondent No.3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur is directed to look into her grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.3 does not find the

commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 17.02.2021 (Annexure P-2), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.*** 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

06.04.2021
sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No