

Harmeet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWANPresent: Mr. J.S. Bedi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.Mr. Sourabh Goel, Advocate,
for the respondents.**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)**

The writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus has been filed directing the respondents to produce the detenue-Satinder Singh Dharr son of Parminder Singh Dharr, before this Court who has been illegal and forcibly taken in custody by respondent Nos.2 to 4.

In response to the notice of motion, learned counsel appearing for the respondents has submitted that the department was looking for the custody of Daljit Singh, who is brother-in-law of the petitioner, when the department personnel were looking for Daljit Singh, the petitioner identified the places where the accused can be found and therefore, the detenue was never in the custody of the respondents as he has gone with the department personnel voluntarily.

This fact is disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner and he submits that the detenue has returned back home yesterday after filing of this petition.

However, considering the fact that the detenue is not in custody of the respondents, this petition is disposed of having been rendered

infructuous.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail her alternative remedy, in accordance with law, if so advised.

19.03.2021
sandeep

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No