

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

225

CRM-M-16161-2021

Date of Decision: 27.04.2021

Visavjeet @ Sattu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Neeraj Dahiya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on account of inadvertent technical error in the heading of the petition, wherein the bail application has been referred to be the 1st petition, whereas the instant petition has been reported to be 2nd petition, he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file afresh.

In view of the aforesaid submission, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

27.04.2021

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No