

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-6655-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:31.03.2021**

Sukhwant Singh & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Petitioners had filed the instant petition seeking a mandamus directing respondents No.1 and 2 to decide a revision petition (Annexure P-2) filed under Section 16(2) of the Punjab Land Revenue Act that had been preferred by private respondent No.3 and seeking setting aside of an order dated 28.02.2013 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Assistant Collector 1st Grade, Pehowa, District Kurukshetra.

On the previous date of hearing, learned State counsel had been directed to complete instructions in the matter and particularly with regard to pendency and status of the revision petition dated 05.07.2013 (Annexure P-2) and which had been preferred by Tara Singh (respondent No.3 herein).

During the course of resumed hearing, Mr. Sharan Sethi, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana informs the Court that the

revision petition is now fixed for 11.05.2021. He makes a statement that the revision petition would be positively decided within a period of two months from the date already fixed in the proceedings i.e. 11.05.2021.

Statement is accepted.

No further directions are required to be passed.

Petition stands disposed of.

It is made clear that this Court has not examined the case on merits.

31.03.2021

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |