

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.202

**CRM-M No.13023 of 2021
Date of Decision: 29.07.2021**

Ram Gopal @ Monu & anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh Sekhon, Advocate, with
Mr. Rajdeep Singh, Advocate,
for petitioner No.2- Bablu Singh.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, AAG, Haryana.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Initially, both the petitioners had joined hands to move this petition for seeking the relief of anticipatory bail in the criminal case arising out of the FIR bearing No.49 dated 07.02.2021 registered at Police Station Kanina, District Mohindergarh, under Sections 323, 324 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC.

It is worthwhile to mention here that vide the order dated 22.03.2021, the instant petition qua petitioner No.1 was dismissed for having been withdrawn, whereas ad-interim relief had been extended to petitioner No.2.

Learned State counsel, on the instructions from SI Ravinder Singh of Police Station Kanina, District Mohindergarh, apprises the Court that in compliance of the order dated 22.03.2021, petitioner No.2 named Bablu Singh has already joined in the investigation of the case and his custodial interrogation is not required

and he is not involved in any other criminal case of the similar nature.

In view of the above-discussed submission of learned State counsel, the instant petition is allowed qua petitioner No.2-Bablu Singh and the relief of ad-interim bail, as extended to him vide the said order dated 22.03.2021, is made absolute.

However, petitioner No.2-Bablu Singh shall continue to join in the investigation as and when required to do so and shall also strictly abide by all the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

29.07.2021
monika

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No