

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2874-2021.
Date of Decision:-01.09.2021.

Veena Jindal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Gaurav Gogna, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Walia, AAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners with the primary prayer to take a legal action against respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Bhatnagar, Advocate has appeared and filed his power of attorney on behalf of respondent Nos.4 to 6. The same is taken on record.

After arguing for some time and faced with the dictum of law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case titled **Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. and others**, reported as **2008 (2) SCC 409** and in

M. Subramaniam and another Vs. S. Janaki and another, Criminal Appeal No.102 of 2011, decided on 20.03.2020, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to pursue alternative remedies, in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 01, 2021.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.