

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

207

CRM-M-13077-2021(O & M)
Date of Decision: November 03, 2021

JATINDER SINGH @ KUDH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 19 dated 24.02.2021, under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Shahpur Kandi, District Pathankot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the FIR although there were 04 other persons, at whose behest, the petitioner had made the payment for the purchase of the plot.

Learned counsel for the complainant, however, contends that the petitioner is a property dealer and he had sold the plot through his driver to the complainant. The complainant is the wife of an Indian Army Sepoy and she had purchased the same through his hard earned money. The complainant was sold plot bearing Khasra No.438 but the possession of plot bearing Khasra No.435 was given to the complainant.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Chamba Singh states that the petitioner is involved in two other cases.

In view of the serious allegations against the petitioner of having duped the wife of the Indian Army personnel, I do not deem it appropriate to grant him the concession of anticipatory bail.

The petition is dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 03, 2021
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No