

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-13365-2021
Date of decision-23.03.2021**

Dr.Balwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. S.S.Toor, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.1 to take appropriate action against respondent Nos.3 and 4 by registering the case under Section 182 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that marriage of petitioner with respondent No.3 was solemnized in the year 2007 and for the last five years, the parties have been residing separately. Learned counsel has contended that private respondents had sent the bad elements in the office of the petitioner, who is working as a Medical Officer at Civil Hospital, Phase-6, Mohali to eliminate him, however, the petitioner escaped. He has further drawn the attention of the Court to the FIR No.181 dated 13.11.2008 registered under Sections 279, 337 and 427 IPC to contend that the said FIR was registered on the statement of the mother of the petitioner, as the offender tried to kill the petitioner by way of a vehicular accident.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by Mr. Toor,

learned counsel that the offence punishable under Section 307 IPC is not contained in the FIR and he is not even aware of the result of the trial, if any.

Mr. Toor, learned counsel has submitted that the representation dated 01.03.2021 (Annexure P-8) has already been given by the petitioner to the Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali, however, till date no action has been taken upon the same, therefore, he prays for necessary directions.

During the course of hearing, it is stated that 3-4 persons from Faridkot had come to the office of the petitioner, but the petitioner has not given any description of the said occurrence like date, time and built etc of the assailants. Further, the petitioner has alleged that his wife is having illicit relations with someone, but the petitioner never sought dissolution of marriage on this ground. The averments made in the representation as well as in the petition are vague and lack material particulars, therefore, do not inspire confidence.

Resultantly, no case is made out for exercising the inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

23.03.2021

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No