

121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2807-2021

Date of decision-22.03.2021

Jyoti Khanna and another ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Ms. Manisha Nehra, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

By means of this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India, petitioners have prayed for a writ of mandamus by way of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they have solemnized marriage on 17.03.2021 against the wishes of private respondent Nos.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners are receiving threats from the private respondents, as they have solemnized marriage on 17.03.2021 against their wishes. She has drawn the attention of the Court to the representation dated 17.03.2021 (Annexure P-5) submitted by petitioners to Superintendent of Police, Karnal and contended that no action has been taken by the officer so far. She prays for issuance of necessary directions.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court finds that the averments made in the writ petition do not seem to be

probable as all the events of marriage, submission of representation and filing of the writ petition took place on 17.03.2021.

During the course of hearing, it is stated by learned counsel that after solemnizing the marriage, which concluded at 11 a.m., the petitioners went to the office of Superintendent of Police, Karnal to submit their representation and they turned back for filing the petition. As per the office report, the writ petition was filed at 02.30 p.m. Therefore, the averments contained in the writ petition as well as in the representation do not inspire any confidence as the material particulars regarding the alleged manner and mode of threat has not been described. Apart from it, Superintendent of Police, Karnal has not been given any time to consider the representation (Anenxure P-5), therefore, present writ of mandamus is without any adequate cause of action.

Resultantly, petition fails and is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

22.03.2021

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No