

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

**CRM-M-13612-2021
Date of decision:01.10.2021**

RAJINDER SINGH AND ANR ...petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...respondents

CRM-M-13627-2021

HARWINDER SINGH @HARVINDER SINGH AND ORS ...petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr.Hem Raj Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-13612-2021
for respondents No.2 and 3 in CRM-M-13627-2021

Mr.Nishan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-13627-2021
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-13612-2021

Mr.RanaHarjasdeep Singh, DAG Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Case heard by video conferencing.

Vide CRM-M-13612 of 2021, the petitioners seek quashing of FIRno.139 dated 17.8.2014, registered at Police Station City, Sunam, DistrictSangrur, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections452/324/427/148/149 of the IPC, as also all other subsequent proceedingsarising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the

**CRM-M-13612-2021 and
CRM-M-13627-2021**

petitioners and respondent no.2. A copy of the compromise deed has been annexed as Annexure P-3 with the petition.

Vide CRM-M-13627 of 2021, the petitioners seek quashing of the cross case, bearing General Diary no.44 dated 17.8.2014, registered at the same police station, also for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 452/324/427/148/149 of the IPC (recorded as a cross-case in FIR no.139 dated 17.8.2014), also on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioners and respondents no.2 and 3. A copy of the compromise deed has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition.

Pursuant to the order of this court dated 24.03.2021, a report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sunam, dated 11.08.2021, has been received.

It is stated therein that the statement of the complainant in the FIR, i.e. Harwinder Singh @ Harvinder Singh, was recorded before that Court, to the effect that he has indeed compromised the matter of his own free will and has no objection to the FIR being quashed.

Similar statements are also stated to have been made before that Court by the petitioners in CRM-M-13612-2021 (accused in the FIR). Similar statements were also made by the complainant in the cross version to the FIR, i.e. Rajinder Singh, as also by the injured, i.e. Harpal Singh, and again by the accused in the cross version to the FIR (petitioners in CRM-M-13627-2021). As per the assessment of that Court, the compromise arrived at between the parties is of their own free will and without any inducement and coercion etc.

It has next been stated by that Court that as per the statement of the investigating officer, there is no other person involved in the occurrence, whose consent would be required for quashing the cases in question (if this court considers it appropriate to do so).

**CRM-M-13612-2021 and
CRM-M-13627-2021**

It has also been stated by the learned Magistrate that no other case was recorded to be pending between the parties, as per the investigating officer.

That being so, looking at the nature of offences involved, with the matter having been amicably settled between the parties, the petitions are allowed and FIR no.139 dated 17.8.2014, as well as General Diary no.44 dated 17.8.2014, both registered at Police Station City, Sunam, District Sangrur, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 452/324/427/148/149 of the IPC, along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, are hereby quashed.

01.10.2021

neenu

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No