

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-6525-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.03.2021**

Kamaldev Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Ms. Rajni Rohilla, Advocate for
Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

The present writ petition has been filed claiming one premature increment for non-participation in the strike dated 08.02.1978.

In the petition, the petitioner is relying upon the orders passed by this Court, where the similarly situated employees were extended the said relief of premature increment for non-participation in the strike.

The contention which has been raised by the counsel for the petitioner is that the said relief has not been extended to the petitioner on the ground that there is no order from the competent Court of law granting the said benefit to the petitioner.

From the pleadings, it transpires that the orders, which were passed by this Court, were in the writ petitions which were filed starting from the year 1989 onwards till the year 2002. The petitioner has approached this Court in the year 2021.

Be that as it may, the respondents are directed to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-11), which the petitioner has given on 22.12.2020 by passing a speaking order within a period of three months

from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

In case the petitioner is found entitled for the said relief, the same shall be given to the petitioner notionally and in case on re-fixation, his pension is also to be revised, the same should also be revised within a further period of three months and that too notionally only and no arrears shall be given.

The present writ petition stands disposed of

19.03.2021

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |