

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6435-2021

Date of decision: 19.3.2021

Surta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI**

Present: Mr.Sumit Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Raman K. Sharma, Addl.AG, Haryana
for respondent Nos. 1 to 4

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to the official respondents not to dispossess the petitioner during the pendency of the appeal (13-A VCL/ER/166/2021) pending before respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 – Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak to decide the appeal (13-A VCL/ER/166/2021) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has already been handed over to the learned State counsel.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak to decide the appeal (13-A VCL/ER/166/2021), in accordance with law within twelve weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment.

Till the decision of the appeal, the petitioner be not dispossessed.

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

[VIVEK PURI]
JUDGE

19.03.2021

gsv

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No