

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 6447 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 19.03.2021

M/s Shiv Shankar Bansal and company

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.**

Present:- Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana,
for the respondents.
(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the
proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court).

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner praying for cancellation of the tender notice dated 12.03.2021 on the ground that the said tender notice had previously been issued in which the petitioner had been declared to be L-1 but the work was not allotted to him on account of which the petitioner had approached this Court by filing a Civil Writ Petition No. 863 of 2021.

It is submitted that on 21.01.2021, this Court by recording the statement of the petitioner to the effect that the respondents be directed to either issue the work order to the petitioner or to return its EMD had disposed of the petition directing the respondent-authorities to take a decision on the said statement of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inspite of the said direction, no decision has been taken by the respondents till date and on the contrary they had issued a fresh tender notice.

Learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent-State of Haryana as well as for Municipal Corporation, Ambala, submits that the respondent-authorities owing to confusion arising out of filing of several petitions by the petitioner could not take a decision in the matter. However, they have processed the file of the petitioner. He submits that a decision in the matter shall be taken within a week after hearing the petitioner, which shall be communicated to the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid and after taking the categorical statement made by learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana on record, we do not find any reason to keep this petition pending, particularly as the statement of the learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana made today is in accordance with the order passed by this Court on 21.01.2021 (Annexure P-7).

Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of in terms of the aforesaid statement made by learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

19.03.2021
ravinder

Whetherspeaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whetherreportable	Yes/No