

In virtual Court

CRM-M-9208-2020

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9208-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.07.2021

Aejaj

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Satish Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.108 dated 23.06.2019 under Sections 186, 224, 225, 353 IPC, registered at Police Station Bichhor, District Mewat.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 02.03.2020: -

“...Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case at the instance of ASI Talim Hussain in a fictitious manner as no such incident as alleged ever took place. There is no arrest memo available with the police to support the allegations regarding arrest of the

petitioner in the case and his escape from custody. The petitioner filed petition CRM-M-24212-2019 for quashing of FIR No. 284 dated 01.05.2018 registered under Section 6 of POCSO Act and Section 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sector – 58, Faridabad and CRM-M-55353-2019 for anticipatory bail and till 24.03.2020, the arrest of the petitioner has been stayed by this Court. The petitioner is ready to join investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required in the case...”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation and for the last more than 01 year, he has not misused the concession of interim bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Kamal Singh, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 02.03.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

29.07.2021
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No