

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-18765-2021 in/and
CRM-M-19673-2021 (O&M
Date of Decision: 08.07.2021
(Heard through V.C.)**

Pooja

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present Mr. Gaurav Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(Oral)

CRM-18765-2021

Application is allowed.

Document i.e. Annexure P-4A is taken on record .

CRM-M-19673-2021

This is a petition that has been filed for cancellation of bail allowed to respondent No. 2 by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat vide order dated 02.03.2020.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would pray for cancellation of bail on the ground that the recovery of gold items has not been effected so far.

Apart from the argument that dowry articles have not been recovered, the counsel is unable to point out any violations made by

respondent No.2 of the terms mentioned in the bail order.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and found that no ground is made out for cancellation of the bail order dated 02.03.2020. Learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat vide a detailed order has taken into consideration all allegations raised by the complainant while allowing anticipatory bail to the respondent accused. It is well settled proposition of law that bail cannot be denied only on the ground that the dowry articles have not been recovered. In this regard, reference may be made to the judgment rendered in *Bhupinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab* 2014(2)RCR (Criminal) 109.

Petition stands dismissed accordingly.

08.07.2021

seema goran

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes.
No.