

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

225

CRM-M-12897-2021

Date of Decision:24.03.2021

Ram Niwas

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. Gurdas Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, A.A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner pending trial in FIR No.91 dated 31.05.2020 under Sections 188, 307, 34 IPC and Sections 25 & 27 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Odhan, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is in custody since 16.10.2020. He submits that there is no fire-arm injury in the case and injuries on the person of the complainant, if any, are blunt in nature.

Learned State Counsel, on instructions from SI Madan Lal, does not dispute the custody period of the petitioner and the fact that there is

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner is in custody since 16.10.2020. Noticing the fact that there is no fire-arm injury, challan has been presented on 06.12.2020 and trial in the case is not likely to be concluded in the near future, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, it is made clear that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall proceed with the trial independently without being influenced by the order of bail passed by this Court.

March 24, 2021
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No