

C.R. No. 685 of 2021

Date of Decision: March 19, 2021

Kanwar Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Birender and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner

**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner is aggrieved because the trial Court has rejected his application for provision of police help vide order dated 17.02.2021 on the ground that before police help can be provided factual determination that the respondents are interfering in the possession of the petitioner, has to be made.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is 84 years of age and he is being troubled by his brothers. Thus, a direction may be given to the concerned Court to decide the application filed under Order 39 Rule 2A read with Section 151 CPC within a limited time frame.

The prayer made is innocuous and, thus, the petition can be disposed of without issuing notice to the respondents.

Accordingly, the revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the concerned trial Court, Kanina to decide the pending application under Order 39 Rule 2A read with Section 151 CPC within one year from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

March 19, 2021

*reena*

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**