

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.228

**Civil Revision No.699 of 2021
DATE OF DECISION: April 19, 2021**

M/S SAVINDERJEET HOSPITALITIES PVT. LTD. AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

M/S STAN AUTOS PVT. LTD.

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. M.L. Saggar, Senior Advocate with,
Mr. Tajeshwar Singh Sullar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

None for the respondent.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Service is complete but none has appeared on behalf of the respondent.

This revision petition has been preferred by the landlord for modification of order dated 01.03.2021 passed by the Rent Controller whereby ex parte order of eviction has been passed but three months time has been granted to the tenant to hand over physical possession.

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that provisional rent could not be assessed as the tenant did not appear despite service. Thus, ex parte evidence was led. Impugned order dated 01.03.2021 was passed ordering eviction of the tenant on the ground of non-

Civil Revision No.699 of 2021

payment of rent. However, three months time was granted for handing over vacant possession which is illogical and unreasonable considering the conduct of the tenant.

As noticed earlier, none has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent despite service. It is, thus, obvious that the tenant wants to continue in possession without paying any rent and without having any need for the premises.

Thus, impugned order dated 01.03.2021 is modified and it is directed that the landlord shall be entitled to execute the order of eviction forthwith.

The revision petition stands disposed of.

April 19, 2021
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No