

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6294 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision:07.04.2021

Sukhwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Deputy Commissioner Barnala and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Instant writ petition came up for preliminary hearing before this Court on 18.03.2021 and the following order was passed:-

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Para 3 of the writ petition reads as follows:-

“ That the suit was decreed in favour of the father of the petitioner Jarnail Singh and his uncle Karnail Singh on 13.09.1979 the matter was gone upto High Court and the RSA 1189 of 1981 titled Karnail Singh etc. vs. Municipal Committee Bhadaur was dismissed. However, status quo was maintained.”

Counsel is directed to place on record copies of the judgments passed by the trial Court, First Appellate Court

*as also of this Court passed in RSA No.1189 of 1981 **titled**
as Karnail Singh etc. vs. Municipal Committee, Bhadaur.*

*Such orders have been directed to be placed on
record as prima facie this Court is of the view that an
attempt has been made to mislead this Court.*

Needful be done within a period of two weeks.

List on 07.04.2021.”

Order dated 18.03.2021 has not been complied with.

Rather counsel seeks withdrawal of the writ petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

April 07, 2021

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No