

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

CRM-M-12535-2021

Date of Decision: 18.03.2021

Vaneet Kumar @ Vaneeta @ Bani ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. D.S.Nigha, Advocate, for the petitioner.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a juvenile and that his date of birth is 12.02.2003, as would be evident from his birth certificate (Annexure P-2). Learned counsel has submitted that the said fact, however, could not be brought to the notice of the trial Court and on account of which his petition came to be dismissed.

Keeping in view of the aforesaid position, it is deemed appropriate that the factum of juvenility be first considered by the trial Court. The petition, as such, is disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition before the trial Court along with the document/s which the petitioner may chose to produce to prove his contention regarding the juvenility. In case, any such application is filed, the same be decided afresh expeditiously while considering the plea of the petitioner as regards the juvenility.

It is, however, made clear that the aforesaid order shall not be construed as an expression or any finding as regards the juvenility of the petitioner.

18.03.2021

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**