

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

115

CRWP No.2688 of 2021.

Date of Decision: 18.03.2021.

Sanjeev Kumar Goyal

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Garg, Advocate for petitioner.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

This Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been moved for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of petitioner at the hands of respondents No.3 and 4 and to take action against respondents No.3 to 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has already made representation on 11.03.2021 to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, District Sangrur, copy of which is available on the file as Annexure P/4, but still no action has been taken.

Notice of motion confined to respondents No.1 and 2 only.

At the asking of Court, Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2. Complete copy of paper book has been supplied to him.

In view of above, the present petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, District Sangrur, to look into and decide representation dated 11.03.2021 (Annexure P/4) in accordance with law by passing speaking order and that too within six weeks positively from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

18.03.2021
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No