

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203C

CRM-M-9246-2020

Date of Decision : August 09, 2021

AMARJIT BHAMRA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. G.S.Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate
for the complainant.

Through Video Conferencing

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case the FIR was lodged against the petitioner by falsely implicating him and stated that it is a case where the complainant was the father of the deceased and the allegations are that the deceased had love affair with one Neha and the petitioner was harassing the deceased. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is not a relative of the girl and was only a villager and furthermore there is no suicide note in the present case and even as per the allegations the offences under Sections 306 and 107 IPC are not made against the petitioner because there is no immediate intimidation alleged.

Notice of motion was issued in the present case on 2.3.2020 and the interim protection was granted by this Court.

Today, learned State counsel on instruction from SI Rakesh Kumar states that in pursuance to the order passed by this court on 2.3.2020, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and he is fully co-operating with the investigation process and he is not required for custodial investigation.

In view of the stand taken by the learned State counsel, the present petition is allowed and order dated 2.3.2020 is made absolute.

August 09, 2021
ajay-1

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No