

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.9127 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision:18.08.2021

(Heard through VC)

Charanjit Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Vishal Sharma Vasudeva, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Saini, Advocate
for respondent No.2 & 3.

-.-

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.126 dated 14.10.2019 registered under Sections 323, 354, 354-B, 427, 452, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Tarsikka, District Amritsar Rural, Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise deed dated 14.02.2020 (Annexure P-2).

2. The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant on the allegation that accused-petitioners forcibly entered into the house and caused injuries to her and her son. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a

compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Baba Bakala Sahib stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

4. Learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 & 3 admit the factum of compromise and counsel for the respondent-State would submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

6. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

7. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and**

another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this petition is allowed and FIR No.126 dated 14.10.2019 registered under Sections 323, 354, 354-B, 427, 452, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Tarsikka, District Amritsar Rural, Punjab(Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

August 18, 2021
P.Bhatt/Pankaj*

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
---------------------------	--------

Whether reportable	Yes/No
--------------------	--------