

**129**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 2726 of 2021  
Date of Decision: 23.03.2021

Siksha and another

-Petitioners

Vs

State of Haryana and others

-Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Sushil K. Sharma, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioners have preferred this petition for issuance of appropriate directions to official respondents to protect their lives and personal liberties from being infringed by the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners are major and they intended to solemnize marriage, but the same was opposed by their family members. On 09.03.2021, the petitioners before their marriage filed a representation before the Superintendent of Police, Kaithal in respect of their threat perception. They showed their

apprehension qua their lives and personal liberties. Thereafter, they solemnized marriage on 15.03.2021 and a marriage certificate was also issued by Prachin Pashupati Nath Shiv Mandir, Panchkula on 15.03.2021 (though there is some clerical mistake in translated version of Annexure P-3).

Precisely, in the context of aforesaid relief, petitioners have approached the Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, District Kaithal/respondent No.2 by way of representation dated 15.03.2021.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Anant Kataria, D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, without meaning anything in the context of validity of marriage and majority of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition.

This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case. It would be appreciated if the needful is done

within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

23.03.2021  
*Jyoti Sharma*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No